



SPATIAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK

NOVEMBER 2019



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Project Management Team

Urban Dynamics Western Cape: Gerhard Swart, Nick van der Merwe

Theewaterskloof Municipality

Johannes Pienaar, Kurt Thomas, Donovan Swartland, Jacques Jansen van Rensburg, Lester Parnell, Francois du Toit, Denver Damons, Belinda Swartland, Marianne Gertze, Enrico Shortles, Joanna Marzec-Visagie, Earl Kiewitz, Judy van Rhyn, Aswille Riddles, Juzhel Colyn, Vidri Johnson, Benita Petersen, Morne Voigt, Hegans Marthinus

Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning

Tania de Waal, Raudhiyah Dien, Laurel Robertson

External entities

GLS Engineers Flip du Plessis, Neal Gibson

INCA Portfolio Managers Charl Bouwer, Attie van Zyl

Intergovernmental Steering Committee members and other collaborators

Western Cape Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning Julien Rumbelow, Helena Jacobs, Kobus Munro, August Hoon, David Hanly, Wadzanai Madangombe, Andre van Der Merwe, Dalene Stapelberg, Henri Fortuin, Andre Oosthuizen, Mare-Liez Oosthuizen, Roshuma Mudanalwo

Western Cape Department of Human Settlements Elmay Pelser

Western Cape Provincial Treasury Beryl Galant

Western Cape Department of Hillary Smith, Melodie Campbell

Education

Western Cape Department of Local Government Marius Brand, John Frame, Ralph Links, Zofia Rokita

Western Cape Department of Economic Development & Tourism Gershon Oliver

Western Cape Department of Transport & Public Works Stacy Martin, Akhona Mbenyana, Danielle Manuel, Jade Feder, Landi Dalhouzie

Western Cape Department of Agriculture Cor Van der Walt

Cape Nature Rhett Smart

Transnet Freight Rail Jan-Louis Spoelstra

SANRAL Colene Runkel

ESKOM Afikile Dyonase

Department of Rural Development and Land Reform Tommie Bolton

VPUU Gail Anders, Adina Israel

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY UITVOERENDE OPSOMMING

PART 1: MUNICIPAL ANALYSIS

1. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE OF THE SDF

1.1	INTRODUCTION	1.1
1.2	PURPOSE OF A SDF	1.1
1.3	STRUCTURE OF DOCUMENT	1.2
1.4	THE STUDY AREA	1.2
1.5	THE APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY	1.2

2. POLICY CONTEXT

2.1	INTRODUCTION	2.1
2.2	LEGISLATIVE CONTEXT	2.1
2.2.1	Spatial Planning and Land Use Management Act, 2013	2.1
2.2.2	Municipal Systems Act, 2000	2.2
2.2.3	Local Government: Municipal Planning and Performance Management Regulations, 2001	2.2
2.2.4	Theewaterskloof Municipal Planning By-Law, 2015	2.3
2.2.5	Statutory Implications	2.3
2.3	PLANNING FRAMEWORK	2.3
2.3.1	National Development Plan 2030	2.3
2.3.2	Integrated Urban Development Framework	2.4

2.3.3	Western Cape Provincial Spatial Development Framework, 2014	2.5
2.3.4	The Overberg District Municipal Spatial Development Framework, 2014	2.7
2.3.5	Greater Cape Metro Regional Spatial Implementation Framework	2.10
2.3.6	Informants from Adjacent Municipalities	2.10
2.3.7	Local Municipal Planning Informants	2.12
2.4	INTEGRATION THROUGH PROVINCIAL STRATEGIC GOAL 4.....	2.14

3. THE MUNICIPAL SPATIAL DEVELOPMENT CONCEPT

3.1	BACKGROUND	3.1
3.2	THE SPATIAL VISION AND OVERARCHING GOALS AND OBJECTIVES	3.1
3.3	OVERARCHING DEVELOPMENT CONCEPT	3.2
3.3.1	Introduction	3.2
3.3.2	General Spatial Planning Principles	3.2
3.3.3	Spatial Development Concept: Regional Level	3.3
3.3.4	Spatial Development Concept: Local Level	3.5

4.	MUNICIPAL ANALYSIS			
4.1	INTRODUCTION	4.1		
4.2	UNDERSTANDING THE BIOPHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT	4.1		
4.2.1	Geology and Soils	4.1	4.4.3	Demographics
4.2.2	Topography and Slopes	4.1	4.4.4	Household Income
4.2.3	Climate	4.2	4.4.5	Poverty Headcount and Intensity
4.2.4	Vegetation and Biodiversity	4.2	4.4.6	Education Statistics
4.2.5	Water Resources	4.4	4.4.7	Learner Transport
4.2.6	Drainage	4.5	4.4.8	Health Statistics
4.2.7	Climate Change	4.6	4.4.9	Socio-Economic Environment: Theme Implications
4.2.8	Agriculture	4.6	4.5	UNDERSTANDING THE BUILT ENVIRONMENT
4.2.9	Mining	4.8	4.5.1	Transportation Network
4.2.10	Biophysical Environment: Theme Implications	4.8	4.5.2	Freight Transport
4.3	UNDERSTANDING THE SOCIO-ECONOMIC ENVIRONMENT	4.9	4.5.3	Public Transport
4.3.1	Sectoral Linkages across the Overberg District	4.10	4.5.4	Regional Engineering Infrastructure
4.3.2	Agricultural, Forestry and Fishing Sub-sector	4.11	4.5.5	Settlements
4.3.3	Manufacturing	4.11	4.5.6	Built Environment: Theme Implications
4.3.4	Tourism	4.12	5.	RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND LAND USE MANAGEMENT
4.3.5	Informal Trading	4.13	5.1	INTRODUCTION
4.3.6	Transport Sector	4.13	5.2	PSDF: RURAL LAND USE PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT GUIDELINES
4.3.7	Finance, Insurance, Real Estate and Business Service Sub-sector	4.13	5.3	THE COMPREHENSIVE RURAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME
4.4	THE POPULATION	4.14	5.4	ISSUES
4.4.1	Employment Growth	4.14	5.5	GOAL AND OBJECTIVES
4.4.2	Unemployment	4.15	5.6	RURAL LAND USE POLICIES
			5.7	RURAL GROWTH NODES (RURAL DEVELOPMENT AREAS)
			5.7.1	Background

5.7.2	Strategies: Rural Development Areas (RDAs)	5.9			
5.8	OVERBERG DISTRICT: RURAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN	5.14	6.4	SECTOR STRATEGY: SOCIO-ECONOMIC	6.9
5.9	AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT	5.14	6.4.1	Industrial Development (Business services, light industry and industry)	6.9
5.9.1	Background	5.14	6.4.2	Tourism	6.9
5.9.2	Key Issues.....	5.15	6.4.3	Economic Development	6.10
5.9.3	Farming Areas.....	5.15	6.5	SECTOR STRATEGY: BUILT ENVIRONMENT	6.11
5.9.4	Green Industries.....	5.15	6.5.1	Population Growth and Land Use Requirements	6.11
5.9.5	Prime and Unique Agricultural Land.....	5.16	6.5.2	Residential	6.11
5.10	FORESTRY AREAS	5.16	6.5.3	Mixed Use Development	6.12
5.10.1	Introduction.....	5.16	6.5.4	Cemeteries	6.12
5.10.2	Strategies: MTO Forestry Areas.....	5.16	6.5.5	Social Facilities	6.12
			6.5.6	Densification and Development of Vacant Land	6.13
			6.5.7	Heritage	6.15
			6.6	SECTOR STRATEGY: INTEGRATION	6.16
			6.6.1	Spatial Context.....	6.16
			6.6.2	Spatial and Social Integration Proposals.....	6.17
			6.6.3	Infill Development	6.17
			6.6.4	Social Integration Spaces	6.17
			6.7	PRIORITY DEVELOPMENT AREAS AND SPECIAL STRATEGIC INTERVENTIONS AND SPATIAL PROJECTS	6.17
			7.	GRABOUW	
			7.1	TOWN ANALYSIS	7.1
			7.1.1	Overview.....	7.1
			7.1.2	Historic Background.....	7.2
PART 2: SETTLEMENTS					
6.	CALEDON				
6.1	TOWN ANALYSIS	6.1			
6.1.1	Overview.....	6.1			
6.1.2	Historic Background.....	6.2			
6.1.3	Settlement Analysis	6.2			
6.1.4	Key Spatial Challenges/Issues.....	6.2			
6.1.5	Development Informants and Constraints.....	6.3			
6.2	PROPOSALS	6.5			
6.2.1	The Spatial Development Concept.....	6.5			
6.2.2	Population Growth and Land Use Requirements	6.7			
6.2.3	Urban Edge	6.8			
6.3	SECTOR STRATEGY: BIOPHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT				

7.1.3	Settlement Analysis.....	7.2	7.6.4	Restructuring Zone.....	7.15
7.1.4	Key Spatial Challenges/Issues.....	7.2	7.7	PRIORITY DEVELOPMENT AREAS AND SPECIAL STRATEGIC INTERVENTIONS AND SPATIAL PROJECTS	7.16
7.1.5	Development Informants and Constraints	7.3	8.	VILLIERSDORP	
7.2	PROPOSALS.....	7.5	8.1	TOWN ANALYSIS.....	8.1
7.2.1	The Spatial Development Concept	7.5	8.1.1	Overview	8.1
7.2.2	Population Growth and Land Use Requirements	7.7	8.1.2	Historic Background.....	8.2
7.2.3	Urban Edge.....	7.8	8.1.3	Settlement Analysis.....	8.2
7.3	SECTOR STRATEGY: BIOPHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT AND AGRICULTURE	7.8	8.1.4	Key Spatial Challenges/Issues	8.2
7.4	SECTOR STRATEGY: SOCIO-ECONOMIC	7.9	8.1.5	Development Informants and Constraints	8.3
7.4.1	Industrial Development.....	7.9	8.2	PROPOSALS.....	8.5
7.4.2	Tourism.....	7.9	8.2.1	The Spatial Development Concept.....	8.5
7.4.3	Economic Development	7.9	8.2.2	Population Growth and Land Use Requirements	8.7
7.4.4	Agri-Hub Programme	7.10	8.2.3	Urban Edge.....	8.8
7.5	SECTOR STRATEGY: BUILT ENVIRONMENT.....	7.10	8.3	SECTOR STRATEGY: BIOPHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT AND AGRICULTURE	8.8
7.5.1	Population Growth and Land Use Requirements	7.10	8.4	SECTOR STRATEGY: SOCIO-ECONOMIC	8.9
7.5.2	Residential.....	7.11	8.4.1	Industrial Development (Business services, light industry).....	8.9
7.5.3	Cemeteries.....	7.12	8.4.2	Tourism	8.9
7.5.4	Social Facilities.....	7.12	8.4.3	Economic Development	8.9
7.5.5	Densification and Development of Vacant Land.....	7.13	8.4.4	Agri-Hub Programme	8.10
7.5.6	Heritage.....	7.14	8.5	SECTOR STRATEGY: BUILT ENVIRONMENT.....	8.10
7.6	SECTOR STRATEGY: INTEGRATION.....	7.15	8.5.1	Population Growth and Land Use Requirements	8.10
7.6.1	Spatial Context.....	7.15	8.5.2	Residential.....	8.10
7.6.2	Spatial and Social Integration Proposals.....	7.15	8.5.3	Cemeteries.....	8.11
7.6.3	Integration Spaces.....	7.15			

8.5.4	Social Facilities.....	8.11	9.4.3	Economic Development.....	9.9
8.5.5	Densification and Development of Vacant Land.....	8.11	9.5	SECTOR STRATEGY: BUILT ENVIRONMENT.....	9.9
8.5.6	Heritage.....	8.12	9.5.1	Population Growth and Land Use Requirements.....	9.9
8.6	SECTOR STRATEGY: INTEGRATION	8.14	9.5.2	Residential.....	9.10
8.6.1	Spatial Context.....	8.14	9.5.3	Cemeteries	9.10
8.6.2	Spatial and Social Integration Proposals.....	8.14	9.5.4	Social Facilities.....	9.10
8.6.3	Restructuring Zone.....	8.14	9.5.5	Densification and Development of Vacant Land.....	9.10
8.7	PRIORITY DEVELOPMENT AREAS AND SPECIAL STRATEGIC INTERVENTIONS AND SPATIAL PROJECTS	8.14	9.5.6	Heritage.....	9.12
9.	BOTRIVIER		9.6	SECTOR STRATEGY: INTEGRATION.....	9.13
9.1	TOWN ANALYSIS.....	9.1	9.6.1	Spatial Context.....	9.13
9.1.1	Overview.....	9.1	9.6.2	Spatial and Social Integration Proposals.....	9.13
9.1.2	Historic Background.....	9.2	9.7	PRIORITY DEVELOPMENT AREAS AND SPECIAL STRATEGIC INTERVENTIONS AND SPATIAL PROJECTS	9.13
9.1.3	Settlement Analysis.....	9.2	10.	RIVIERSONDEREND	
9.1.4	Key Spatial Challenges/Issues.....	9.2	10.1	TOWN ANALYSIS.....	10.1
9.1.5	Development Informants and Constraints	9.2	10.1.1	Overview.....	10.1
9.2	PROPOSALS.....	9.5	10.1.2	Historic Background.....	10.1
9.2.1	The Spatial Development Concept.....	9.5	10.1.3	Settlement Analysis.....	10.1
9.2.2	Population Growth and Land Use Requirements	9.7	10.1.4	Key Spatial Challenges/Issues.....	10.2
9.2.3	Urban Edge.....	9.8	10.1.5	Development Informants and Constraints.....	10.2
9.3	SECTOR STRATEGY: BIOPHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT AND AGRICULTURE.....	9.8	10.2	PROPOSALS.....	10.5
9.4	SECTOR STRATEGY: SOCIO-ECONOMIC.....	9.9	10.2.1	The Spatial Development Concept.....	10.5
9.4.1	Industrial Development.....	9.9	10.2.2	Population Growth and Land Use Requirements	10.7
9.4.2	Tourism.....	9.9	10.2.3	Urban Edge.....	10.8
			10.3	SECTOR STRATEGY: BIOPHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT AND	

	AGRICULTURE.....	10.8			
10.4	SECTOR STRATEGY: SOCIO-ECONOMIC.....	10.9			
10.4.1	Industrial Development (Light industry, service trade).....	10.9		11.2.2	Population Growth and Land Use Requirements 11.6
10.4.2	Tourism.....	10.9		11.2.3	Urban Edge..... 11.7
10.4.3	Economic Development.....	10.9		11.3	SECTOR STRATEGY: BIOPHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT AND AGRICULTURE..... 11.8
10.5	SECTOR STRATEGY: BUILT ENVIRONMENT.....	10.10		11.4	SECTOR STRATEGY: SOCIO-ECONOMIC..... 11.9
10.5.1	Population Growth and Land Use Requirements	10.10		11.4.1	Industrial Development (Service trade)..... 11.9
10.5.2	Residential.....	10.10		11.4.2	Tourism 11.9
10.5.3	Cemeteries.....	10.10		11.4.3	Economic Development..... 11.9
10.5.4	Social Facilities.....	10.10		11.5	SECTOR STRATEGY: BUILT ENVIRONMENT..... 11.10
10.5.5	Densification and Development of Vacant Land.....	10.10		11.5.1	Population Growth and Land Use Requirements 11.10
10.5.6	Heritage.....	10.12		11.5.2	Residential 11.10
10.6	SECTOR STRATEGY: INTEGRATION.....	10.13		11.5.3	Cemeteries 11.10
10.6.1	Spatial Context.....	10.13		11.5.4	Social Facilities 11.10
10.7	PRIORITY DEVELOPMENT AREAS AND SPECIAL STRATEGIC INTERVENTIONS AND SPATIAL PROJECTS	10.13		11.5.5	Densification and Development of Vacant Land 11.10
				11.5.6	Heritage 11.11
11.	GREYTON			11.6	SECTOR STRATEGY: INTEGRATION 11.13
11.1	TOWN ANALYSIS.....	11.1		11.6.1	Spatial Context 11.13
11.1.1	Overview.....	11.1		11.6.2	Spatial and Social Integration Proposals 11.13
11.1.2	Historic Background.....	11.2		11.7	PRIORITY DEVELOPMENT AREAS AND SPECIAL STRATEGIC INTERVENTIONS AND SPATIAL PROJECTS 11.13
11.1.3	Settlement Analysis.....	11.2			
11.1.4	Key Spatial Challenges/Issues.....	11.2		12.	GENADENDAL, BEREAVILLE AND VOORSTEKRAAL
11.1.5	Development Informants and Constraints.....	11.3		12.1	TOWN ANALYSIS..... 12.1
11.2	PROPOSALS.....	11.5		12.1.1	Overview 12.1
11.2.1	The Spatial Development Concept	11.5	10.1	12.1.2	Historic Background 12.1
				12.1.3	Settlement Analysis 12.2

12.1.4	Key Spatial Challenges/Issues	12.2		
12.1.5	Development Informants and Constraints	12.3		
12.2	PROPOSALS	12.5		
12.2.1	The Spatial Development Concept	12.5		
12.2.2	Population Growth and Land Use Requirements	12.7		
12.2.3	Urban Edges	12.8		
12.3	SECTOR STRATEGY: BIOPHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT AND AGRICULTURE	12.8		
12.4	SECTOR STRATEGY: SOCIO-ECONOMIC	12.9		
12.4.1	Industrial Development	12.9		
12.4.2	Tourism	12.9		
12.4.3	Economic Development	12.9		
12.4.4	Agri-Hub Programme	12.9		
12.5	SECTOR STRATEGY: BUILT ENVIRONMENT	12.10		
12.5.1	Population Growth and Land Use Requirements	12.10		
12.5.2	Residential	12.10	11.1	
12.5.3	Cemeteries	12.10		
12.5.4	Social Facilities	12.10		
12.5.5	Densification and Development of Vacant Land	12.10		
12.5.6	Heritage	12.11		
12.6	SECTOR STRATEGY: INTEGRATION	12.13		
12.6.1	Spatial Context	12.13		
12.6.2	Spatial and Social Integration Proposals	12.13		
12.7	PRIORITY DEVELOPMENT AREAS AND SPECIAL STRATEGIC INTERVENTIONS AND SPATIAL PROJECTS	12.14		
				13. TESSELAARSDAL
13.1	SETTLEMENT ANALYSIS	13.1		
13.1.1	Overview	13.1		
13.1.2	Historic Background	13.2		
13.1.3	Settlement Analysis	13.2		
13.1.4	Key Spatial Challenges/Issues	13.2		
13.1.5	Development Informants and Constraints	13.2		
13.2	PROPOSALS	13.4		
13.2.1	The Spatial Development Concept	13.4		
13.2.2	Population Growth and Land Use Requirements	13.5		
13.3	SECTOR STRATEGY: BIOPHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT AND AGRICULTURE	13.6		
13.4	SECTOR STRATEGY: SOCIO-ECONOMIC	13.6		
13.4.1	Industrial Development	13.6		
13.4.2	Tourism Development	13.6		
13.4.3	Economic Development	13.6		
13.5	SECTOR STRATEGY: BUILT ENVIRONMENT	13.6		
13.5.1	Heritage	13.6		
13.6	PRIORITY DEVELOPMENT AREAS AND SPECIAL STRATEGIC INTERVENTIONS AND SPATIAL PROJECTS	13.7		

PART 3: IMPLEMENTATION FRAMEWORK, CAPITAL EXPENDITURE FRAMEWORK, MONITORING AND REVIEW

14.	IMPLEMENTATION FRAMEWORK AND CAPITAL EXPENDITURE FRAMEWORK	
14.1	PURPOSE OF A CAPITAL EXPENDITURE FRAMEWORK	14.1
14.2	LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS.....	14.2
14.3	INSTITUTIONAL REQUIREMENTS.....	14.3
14.4	ALIGNMENT OF SECTORAL PLANS.....	14.3
14.5	CAPITAL EXPENDITURE FRAMEWORK.....	14.5
14.5.1	Methodology.....	14.5
14.5.2	Socio-economic Profile: Municipal Wide.....	14.7
14.5.3	Functional Areas.....	14.8
14.5.4	Land Budget.....	14.13
14.5.5	Vision and Spatial Structure.....	14.14
14.5.6	Capital Revenue.....	14.16
14.5.7	Engineering Services and Social Facilities.....	14.16
14.5.8	Budget Gap/Surplus.....	14.19
14.5.9	Outstanding Information and Future Work.....	14.21
14.6	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION.....	14.21
15.	MONITORING AND REVIEW	
15.1	MONITORING.....	15.1
15.2	REVIEW OF THE TWKM SDF.....	15.1

ANNEXURE 1 : SETTLEMENT BASELINE ANALYSIS

1.	CALEDON	
1.1	BIOPHYSICAL AND AGRICULTURAL ANALYSIS	A.1.1
1.1.1	Environmental Status Quo	A.1.1
1.1.2	Environmental Risks	A.1.2
1.1.3	Agricultural Status Quo	A.1.2
1.2	SOCIO-ECONOMIC ANALYSIS	A.1.2
1.2.1	Economic Systems	A.1.2
1.2.2	Residential Patterns	A.1.3
1.2.3	Income Distribution	A.1.4
1.2.4	Demographics	A.1.4
1.3	BUILT ENVIRONMENT ANALYSIS	A.1.5
1.3.1	Movement Systems	A.1.5
1.3.2	Social Facilities Distribution	A.1.6
1.3.3	Access to Schools	A.1.6
1.3.4	Access to Health Facilities	A.1.7
1.3.5	Cemeteries	A.1.8
1.3.6	Engineering Infrastructure	A.1.8
2.	GRABOUW	
2.1	BIOPHYSICAL AND AGRICULTURAL ANALYSIS	A.1.12
2.1.1	Environmental Status Quo	A.1.12
2.1.2	Environmental Risks	A.1.13
2.1.3	Agricultural Status Quo	A.1.13

2.2	SOCIO-ECONOMIC ANALYSIS	A.1.14	3.3.3	Access to Schools	A.1.29
2.2.1	Economic Systems	A.1.14	3.3.4	Access to Health Facilities	A.1.30
2.2.2	Residential Patterns	A.1.15	3.3.5	Cemeteries	A.1.31
2.2.3	Income Distribution	A.1.15	3.3.6	Engineering Infrastructure	A.1.31
2.2.4	Demographics	A.1.16			
2.3	BUILT ENVIRONMENT ANALYSIS	A.1.18	4.	BOTRIVIER	
2.3.1	Movement Systems	A.1.18	4.1	BIOPHYSICAL AND AGRICULTURAL ANALYSIS	A.1.34
2.3.2	System of Social Facilities	A.1.19	4.1.1	Environmental Status Quo	A.1.34
2.3.3	Access to Schools	A.1.19	4.1.2	Environmental Risks	A.1.34
2.3.4	Access to Health Facilities	A.1.20	4.1.3	Agricultural Status Quo	A.1.35
2.3.5	Cemeteries	A.1.21	4.2	SOCIO-ECONOMIC ANALYSIS	A.1.35
2.3.6	Engineering Infrastructure	A.1.21	4.2.1	Economic Systems	A.1.36
3.	VILLIERSDORP		4.2.2	Residential Patterns	A.1.36
3.1	BIOPHYSICAL AND AGRICULTURAL ANALYSIS	A.1.24	4.2.3	Income Distribution	A.1.36
3.1.1	Environmental Status Quo	A.1.24	4.2.4	Demographics	A.1.37
3.1.2	Environmental Risks	A.1.25	4.3	BUILT ENVIRONMENT ANALYSIS	A.1.37
3.1.3	Agricultural Status Quo	A.1.25	4.3.1	Movement Systems	A.1.37
3.2	SOCIO-ECONOMIC ANALYSIS	A.1.26	4.3.2	System of Social Facilities	A.1.38
3.2.1	Economic Systems	A.1.26	4.3.3	Access to Schools	A.1.38
3.2.2	Residential Patterns	A.1.26	4.3.4	Access to Health Facilities	A.1.39
3.2.3	Income Distribution	A.1.27	4.3.5	Cemeteries	A.1.39
3.2.4	Demographics	A.1.27	4.3.6	Engineering Infrastructure	A.1.39
3.3	BUILT ENVIRONMENT ANALYSIS	A.1.28	5.	RIVIERSONDEREND	
3.3.1	Movement Systems	A.1.28	5.1	BIOPHYSICAL AND AGRICULTURAL ANALYSIS	A.1.42
3.3.2	System of Social Facilities	A.1.29	5.1.1	Environmental Status Quo	A.1.42
			5.1.2	Environmental Risks	A.1.43

5.1.3	Agricultural Status Quo	A.1.43	6.3.2	System of Social Facilities	A.1.55
5.2	SOCIO-ECONOMIC ANALYSIS	A.1.44	6.3.3	Access to Schools	A.1.55
5.2.1	Economic Systems	A.1.44	6.3.4	Access to Health Facilities	A.1.56
5.2.2	Residential Patterns	A.1.44	6.3.5	Cemeteries	A.1.56
5.2.3	Income Distribution	A.1.45	6.3.6	Engineering Infrastructure	A.1.56
5.2.4	Demographics	A.1.45	7.	GENADENDAL, BEREAVILLE AND VOORSTEKRAAL	
5.3	BUILT ENVIRONMENT ANALYSIS	A.1.46	7.1	BIOPHYSICAL AND AGRICULTURAL ANALYSIS	A1.1.59
5.3.1	Movement Systems	A.1.46	7.1.1	Environmental Status Quo	A.1.59
5.3.2	System of Social Facilities	A.1.46	7.1.2	Environmental Risks	A.1.59
5.3.3	Access to Schools	A.1.47	7.1.3	Agricultural Status Quo	A.1.60
5.3.4	Access to Health Facilities	A.1.48	7.2	SOCIO-ECONOMIC ANALYSIS	A.1.1.61
5.3.5	Cemeteries	A.1.48	7.2.1	Economic Systems	A.1.61
5.3.6	Engineering Infrastructure	A.1.48	7.2.2	Residential Patterns	A.1.61
6.	GREYTON		7.2.3	Income Distribution	A.1.62
6.1	BIOPHYSICAL AND AGRICULTURAL ANALYSIS	A.1.51	7.2.4	Demographics	A.1.62
6.1.1	Environmental Status Quo	A.1.51	7.3	BUILT ENVIRONMENT ANALYSIS	A.1.1.63
6.1.2	Environmental Risks	A.1.52	7.3.1	Movement Systems	A.1.63
6.1.3	Agricultural Status Quo	A.1.52	7.3.2	System of Social Facilities	A.1.63
6.2	SOCIO-ECONOMIC ANALYSIS	A.1.52	7.3.3	Access to Schools	A.1.63
6.2.1	Economic Systems	A.1.52	7.3.4	Access to Health Facilities	A.1.64
6.2.2	Residential Patterns	A.1.53	7.3.5	Cemeteries	A.1.65
6.2.3	Income Distribution	A.1.53	7.3.6	Engineering Infrastructure	A.1.65
6.2.4	Demographics	A.1.54	8.	TESSELAARSDAL	
6.3	BUILT ENVIRONMENT ANALYSIS	A.1.54	8.1	BIOPHYSICAL AND AGRICULTURAL ANALYSIS	A.1.68
6.3.1	Movement Systems	A.1.54	8.1.1	Environmental Status Quo	A.1.68

8.1.2	Agricultural Status Quo	A.1.68
8.2	SOCIO-ECONOMIC ANALYSIS	A.1.69
8.2.1	Economic Systems	A.1.69
8.2.2	Residential Patterns	A.1.69
8.3	BUILT ENVIRONMENT ANALYSIS	A.1.70
8.3.1	Movement Network	A.1.70
8.3.2	System of Social Facilities	A.1.70
8.3.3	Engineering Infrastructure	A.1.70

ANNEXURE 2 : CAPITAL EXPENDITURE FRAMEWORK DATA

1.	CAPITAL EXPENDITURE DATA TABLES	
1.1	REVENUE SOURCES	A.2.1
1.2	CAPITAL EXPENDITURE REQUIREMENTS PER FUNCTIONAL AREA	A.2.1
1.3	TOTAL CAPITAL EXPENDITURE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE TWKM MUNICIPAL AREA, AFFORDABILITY ENVELOP AND FUNDING SURPLUS/GAP	A.2.5

ANNEXURE 3 : COMMENTS AND RESPONSES

1.	COMMENTS RECEIVED	
1.1	COMMENTS RECEIVED AND PROJECT TEAM'S RESPONSE	A.3.1

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1:	Location of TWKM within the Provincial Context	Figure 6.5:	Caledon: Local Precinct Plan (South)
Figure 1.2:	Organisational Structure	Figure 6.6:	Caledon: Densification Planning Areas
Figure 1.3:	Approach to the Spatial Development Framework	Figure 6.7:	Caledon: Heritage Precincts
Figure 2.1:	Core Elements of the IUDF	Figure 6.8:	Myddleton: Heritage Precincts
Figure 2.2:	Depiction of the Three Spatial Themes underlying the PSDF and their Associated Elements, supported by Spatial Governance (PSDF, 2014)	Figure 7.1:	Location of Grabouw within TWKM
Figure 2.3:	Inter- and Intra-Provincial Spatial Initiatives	Figure 7.2:	Grabouw: Combined Opportunities and Constraints
Figure 2.4:	Overberg District SDF: Strategies and Proposals	Figure 7.3:	Grabouw: Spatial Concept
Figure 2.5:	TWKM Five Thrusts and Priority Areas	Figure 7.4:	Grabouw: Local Precinct Plan (Primary Economic Area)
Figure 3.1:	Inter-relationship of the Three Spatial Themes	Figure 7.5:	Grabouw: Local Precinct Plan (West)
Figure 3.2:	Intra-nodal Linkages from Priority Fixed Investment Nodes	Figure 7.6:	Grabouw: Densification Planning Areas
Figure 4.1:	Dam Levels for Dams in and around TWKM (2013 – 2017)	Figure 7.7:	Grabouw: Heritage Precincts
Figure 4.2:	Distribution of Major Crops across TWKM	Figure 8.1:	Location of Villiersdorp within TWKM
Figure 5.1:	Overberg District Rural Development Plan	Figure 8.2:	Villiersdorp: Summary of Opportunities and Constraints
Figure 6.1:	Location of Caledon within TWKM	Figure 8.3:	Villiersdorp: Spatial Concept
Figure 6.2:	Caledon: Combined Opportunities and Constraints	Figure 8.4:	Villiersdorp: Local Precinct Plan
Figure 6.3:	Caledon: Spatial Concept	Figure 8.5:	Villiersdorp: Densification Planning Areas
Figure 6.4:	Caledon: Local Precinct Plan (Central)	Figure 8.6:	Villiersdorp: Heritage Precincts
		Figure 9.1:	Location of Botrivier within TWKM
		Figure 9.2:	Botrivier: Summary of Opportunities and Constraints
		Figure 9.3:	Botrivier: Spatial Concept

Figure 9.4:	Botrivier: Densification Planning Areas	Figure 12.8:	Bereaville: Heritage Precincts
Figure 9.5:	Botrivier: Heritage Precincts	Figure 12.9:	Voorstekraal: Heritage Precincts
Figure 10.1:	Location of Riviersonderend within TWKM	Figure 13.1:	Tesselaarsdal: Location of Tesselaarsdal within TWKM
Figure 10.2:	Riviersonderend: Combined Opportunities and Constraints	Figure 13.2:	Tesselaarsdal: Combined Opportunities and Constraints
Figure 10.3:	Riviersonderend: Spatial Concept	Figure 13.3:	Tesselaarsdal: Spatial Concept
Figure 10.4:	Riviersonderend: Densification Planning Areas	Figure 14.1:	Inter-relationship of a Capital Expenditure Framework
Figure 10.5:	Riviersonderend: Heritage Precincts	Figure 14.2:	Capital Expenditure Framework Process
Figure 11.1:	Location of Greyton within TWKM	Figure 14.3:	Inter-relationship between Municipal Departments
Figure 11.2:	Greyton: Combined Opportunities and Constraints	Figure 14.4:	Formality and Informality per Functional Area (2018)
Figure 11.3:	Greyton: Spatial Concept	Figure 14.5:	TWKM Area: Required Engineering and Social Infrastructure for the 10-year Period
Figure 11.4:	Greyton: Densification Planning Areas	Figure 14.6:	Caledon: Required Engineering and Social Infrastructure for the 10-year Period
Figure 11.5:	Greyton: Heritage Precincts	Figure 14.7:	Grabouw: Required Engineering and Social Infrastructure for the 10-year Period
Figure 12.1:	Location of Genadendal within TWKM	Figure 14.8:	Villiersdorp: Required Engineering and Social Infrastructure for the 10-year Period
Figure 12.2:	Genadendal, Bereaville and Voorstekraal: Combined Opportunities and Constraints	Figure 14.9:	Botrivier: Required Engineering and Social Infrastructure for the 10-year Period
Figure 12.3:	Genadendal: Spatial Concept	Figure 14.10:	Riviersonderend: Required Engineering and Social Infrastructure for the 10-year Period
Figure 12.4:	Voorstekraal: Spatial Concept	Figure 14.11:	Greyton: Required Engineering and Social Infrastructure for the
Figure 12.5:	Bereaville: Spatial Concept		
Figure 12.6:	Genadendal, Bereaville and Voorstekraal: Densification Planning Areas		
Figure 12.7:	Genadendal: Heritage Precincts		

10-year Period	
Figure 14.12: Genadendal: Required Engineering and Social Infrastructure for the 10-year Period	Figure A1.1.12: Caledon: Walking Distances to Secondary Schools
Figure 14.13: Tesselaarsdal: Required Engineering and Social Infrastructure for the 10-year Period	Figure A1.1.13: Caledon: Walking Distances to Clinic
Figure 14.14: TKWM Budget Shortfall for the 10-year Period.	Figure A1.1.14: Caledon: Walking Distances to Hospital
Figure 14.15: Capital Expenditure, Engineering and Social Infrastructure Costs and Funding Gaps	Figure A1.1.15: Caledon: Summary of Infrastructure Constraints
Figure A1.1.1: Caledon: River Corridors and Wetlands	Figure A1.2.1: Grabouw: River Corridors and Wetlands
Figure A1.1.2: Caledon: Core Biodiversity Areas and Ecological Support Areas	Figure A1.2.2: Grabouw: Core Biodiversity Areas and Ecological Support Areas
Figure A1.1.3: Caledon: Summary of Environmental Risks	Figure A1.2.3: Grabouw: Summary of Environmental Risks
Figure A1.1.4: Caledon: Main Locally Produced Crops	Figure A1.2.4: Grabouw: Main Locally Produced Crops
Figure A1.1.5: Caledon: Concentration of Economic and Industrial Activity	Figure A1.2.5: Grabouw: Concentration of Economic and Industrial Activity
Figure A1.1.6: Caledon: Existing, Approved and Planned Residential Developments	Figure A1.2.6: Grabouw: Existing, Approved and Planned Residential Developments
Figure A1.1.7: Caledon: Household Density versus Household Income	Figure A1.2.7: Grabouw: Household Density versus Household Income
Figure A1.1.8: Caledon: Gateways and Access Points	Figure A1.2.8: Grabouw: Aerial Photography illustrating the Growth of the Informal Settlements
Figure A1.1.9: Caledon: Taxi Routes	Figure A1.2.9: Grabouw: Gateways and Access Points
Figure A1.1.10: Caledon: Social Facilities	Figure A1.2.10: Grabouw: Taxi Routes
Figure A1.1.11: Caledon: Walking Distances to Primary Schools	Figure A1.2.11: Grabouw: Social Facilities
	Figure A1.2.12: Grabouw: Walking Distances to Primary Schools
	Figure A1.2.13: Grabouw: Walking Distances to Secondary Schools
	Figure A1.2.14: Grabouw: Walking Distances to Clinic
	Figure A1.2.15: Grabouw: Summary of Infrastructure Constraints

Figure A1.3.1: Villiersdorp: Core Biodiversity Areas and Ecological Support Areas

Figure A1.3.2: Villiersdorp: River Corridors and Wetlands

Figure A1.3.3: Villiersdorp: Summary of Environmental Risks

Figure A1.3.4: Villiersdorp: Main Locally Produced Crops

Figure A1.3.5: Villiersdorp: Concentration of Economic and Industrial Activity

Figure A1.3.6: Villiersdorp: Existing, Approved and Planned Residential Developments

Figure A1.3.7: Villiersdorp: Household Density versus Household Income

Figure A1.3.8: Villiersdorp: Gateways and Access Points

Figure A1.3.9: Villiersdorp: Taxi Routes

Figure A1.3.10: Villiersdorp: Social Facilities

Figure A1.3.11: Villiersdorp: Walking Distances to Primary Schools

Figure A1.3.12: Villiersdorp: Walking Distances to Secondary Schools

Figure A1.3.13: Villiersdorp: Walking Distances to Mobile Clinic

Figure A1.3.14: Villiersdorp: Summary of Infrastructure Constraints

Figure A1.4.1: Botrivier: Core Biodiversity Areas and Ecological Support Areas

Figure A1.4.2: Botrivier: River Corridors and Wetlands

Figure A1.4.3: Botrivier: Summary of Environmental Risks

Figure A1.4.4: Botrivier: Main Locally Produced Crops

Figure A1.4.5: Botrivier: Concentration of Economic and Industrial Activity

Figure A1.4.6: Botrivier: Existing, Approved and Planned Residential Developments

Figure A1.4.7: Botrivier: Household Density versus Household Income

Figure A1.4.8: Botrivier: Gateways and Access Points

Figure A1.4.9: Botrivier: Social Facilities

Figure A1.4.10: Botrivier: Walking Distances to Primary Schools

Figure A1.4.11: Botrivier: Walking Distances to Clinic

Figure A1.4.12: Botrivier: Summary of Infrastructure Constraints

Figure A1.5.1: Riviersonderend: River Corridors and Wetlands

Figure A1.5.2: Riviersonderend: Core Biodiversity Areas and Ecological Support Areas

Figure A1.5.3: Riviersonderend: Summary of Environmental Risks

Figure A1.5.4: Riviersonderend: Main Locally Produced Crops

Figure A1.5.5: Riviersonderend: Concentration of Economic and Industrial Activity

Figure A1.5.6: Riviersonderend: Existing, Approved and Planned Residential Developments

Figure A1.5.7: Riviersonderend: Household Density versus Household Income

Figure A1.5.8: Riviersonderend: Gateways and Access Points

Figure A1.5.9: Riviersonderend: Social Facilities

Figure A1.5.10: Riviersonderend: Walking Distances to Primary Schools

Figure A1.5.11: Riviersonderend: Walking Distances to Secondary Schools

Figure A1.5.12: Riviersonderend: Walking Distances to Clinic

Figure A1.5.13: Riviersonderend: Summary of Infrastructure Constraints

Figure A1.6.1: Greyton: River Corridors and Wetlands

Figure A1.6.2: Greyton: Core Biodiversity Areas and Ecological Support Areas

Figure A1.6.3: Greyton: Summary of Environmental Risks

Figure A1.6.4: Greyton: Main Locally Produced Crops

Figure A1.6.5: Greyton: Concentration of Economic Activity

Figure A1.6.6: Greyton: Existing, Approved and Planned Residential Developments

Figure A1.6.7: Greyton: Household Density versus Household Income

Figure A1.6.8: Greyton: Gateways and Access Points

Figure A1.6.9: Greyton: Social Facilities

Figure A1.6.10: Greyton: Walking Distances to Primary Schools

Figure A1.6.11: Greyton: Walking Distances to Independent Schools

Figure A1.6.12: Greyton: Summary of Infrastructure Constraints

Figure A1.7.1: Farm 39: River Corridors and Wetlands

Figure A1.7.2: Farm 39: Core Biodiversity Areas and Ecological Support Areas

Figure A1.7.3: Farm 39: Summary of Environmental Risks

Figure A1.7.4: Farm 39: Main Locally Produced Crops

Figure A1.7.5: Farm 39: Concentration of Economic and Industrial Activity

Figure A1.7.6: Farm 39: Planned Residential Developments

Figure A1.7.7: Farm 39: Household Density versus Household Income

Figure A1.7.8: Farm 39: Gateways and Access Points

Figure A1.7.9: Farm 39: Social Facilities

Figure A1.7.10: Farm 39: Walking Distances to Primary Schools

Figure A1.7.11: Farm 39: Walking Distances to Secondary Schools

Figure A1.7.12: Farm 39: Walking Distances to Clinics

Figure A1.7.13: Farm 39: Summary of Infrastructure Constraints

Figure A1.8.1: Tesselaarsdal: River Corridors and Wetlands

Figure A1.8.2: Tesselaarsdal: Core Biodiversity Areas and Ecological Support Areas

Figure A1.8.3: Tesselaarsdal: Main Locally Produced Crops

Figure A1.8.4: Tesselaarsdal: Existing Low Income Residential Developments

Figure A1.8.5: Tesselaarsdal: Gateways and Access Points

Figure A1.8.6: Tesselaarsdal: Social Facilities

Figure A1.8.7: Tesselaarsdal: Summary of Infrastructure Constraints

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1	Competitive and Economic Advantages of Towns	Table 4.18:	Education Levels of Population in TWKM in relation to the OBD (2017)
Table 4.1:	Spatial Themes and Analysis Categories	Table 4.19:	Traffic Volumes along the N2
Table 4.2:	Alignment of Spatial Planning Categories with Biodiversity Areas	Table 4.20:	Traffic Volumes along Provincial Roads
Table 4.3:	Biodiversity Area Categories Management Objectives	Table 4.21:	Description of Modes of Public Transport Services in TWKM
Table 4.4:	Annual Dam Levels in and Around TWKM (2013 – 2017)	Table 4.22:	Destination Points of Taxi Services
Table 4.5:	Crop Classes and Related Hectarage	Table 4.23:	Main Mode of Transport to Work
Table 4.6:	Main Crops Cultivated in TWKM	Table 4.24:	Settlements and their Roles and Functions
Table 4.7:	Livestock reared in TWKM	Table 4.25:	Settlement, Population Size and Classification
Table 4.8:	Theewaterskloof GDPR Performance per Sector, 2008 – 2017	Table 4.26:	Settlement and Ranking in terms of Growth Potential and Socio-Economic Need
Table 4.9:	GDPR Contribution and Average Growth Rates in relation to the District and Province (2017)	Table 4.27:	TWKM Settlement Classification
Table 4.10:	Dominant Economic Sectors (2016)	Table 4.28:	TWKM Housing Need (2018)
Table 4.11:	OBD and TWKM Employment per Sector (2017)	Table 4.29:	TWKM Housing Pipeline (Review 2018/2019): Caledon
Table 4.12:	TWKM Employment Growth per Sector, 2008 – 2017	Table 4.30:	TWKM Housing Pipeline (Review 2018/2019): Grabouw
Table 4.13:	Unemployment Rates, 2013 – 2018 (%)	Table 4.31:	TWKM Housing Pipeline (Review 2018/2019): Villiersdorp
Table 4.14:	Population Statistics	Table 4.32:	TWKM Housing Pipeline (Review 2018/2019): Botrivier
Table 4.15:	Household Income	Table 4.33:	TWKM Housing Pipeline (Review 2018/2019): Riviersonderend
Table 4.16:	Poverty Statistics	Table 4.34:	TWKM Housing Pipeline (Review 2018/2019): Greyton
Table 4.17:	Age Cohort and Dependency Ratio	Table 4.35:	TWKM Housing Pipeline (Review 2018/2019): Genadendal
		Table 5.1:	Rural Land Uses Categories, Guidelines and Objectives

Table 5.2:	Proposed Rural Land Uses Categories and Preferred Location	Table 11.1:	Greyton at a Glance
Table 5.3:	RDA 1: Vyeboom and Environs	Table 11.2:	Greyton: Projected Land Requirements
Table 5.4:	RDA 2: Viljoenshoop Valley and Environs	Table 11.3:	Greyton: Densification Guidelines
Table 5.5:	RDA 3: Salandra and Environs	Table 12.1:	Genadendal at a Glance
Table 5.6:	RDA 4: Theewaterskloof Dam and Environs	Table 12.2:	Genadendal: Projected Land Requirements
Table 6.1:	Caledon at a Glance	Table 12.3:	Genadendal: Densification Guidelines
Table 6.2:	Caledon: Projected Land Requirements	Table 13.1:	Tesselaarsdal at a Glance
Table 6.3:	Caledon: Densification Guidelines	Table 13.2:	Tesselaarsdal: Projected Land Requirements
Table 7.1:	Grabouw at a Glance	Table 14.1:	TWKM Sector Plans, their Status and SDF Implication
Table 7.2:	Grabouw: Projected Land Requirements	Table 14.2:	COGTA CEF Guidelines: 10 Steps
Table 7.3:	Grabouw: Densification Guidelines	Table 14.3:	TWKM: Socio-economic Profile
Table 8.1:	Villiersdorp at a Glance	Table 14.4:	Functional Area 1: Caledon and Myddleton (Priority Development Area): Demographic and Economic Profile
Table 8.2:	Villiersdorp: Projected Land Requirements	Table 14.5:	Functional Area 2: Grabouw (Priority Development Area): Demographic and Economic Profile
Table 8.3:	Villiersdorp: Densification Guidelines	Table 14.6:	Functional Area 3: Villiersdorp (Priority Development Area): Demographic and Economic Profile
Table 9.1:	Botrivier at a Glance	Table 14.7:	Functional Area 4: Botrivier: Demographic and Economic Profile
Table 9.2:	Botrivier: Projected Land Requirements	Table 14.8:	Functional Area 5: Riviersonderend: Demographic and Economic Profile
Table 9.3:	Botrivier: Densification Guidelines	Table 14.9:	Functional Area 6: Greyton: Demographic and Economic Profile
Table 10.1:	Riviersonderend at a Glance		
Table 10.2:	Riviersonderend: Projected Land Requirements		
Table 10.3:	Riviersonderend: Densification Guidelines		

Table 14.10:	Functional Area 7: Genadendal: Demographic and Economic Profile	2027/2028)
Table 14.11:	Functional Area 8: Rural Hinterland (including Tesselaarsdal): Demographic and Economic Profile	Table A2.5: Botrivier: Capital Expenditure Requirements (2018/2019 – 2027/2028)
Table 14.12:	SDF Proposals: Land Budget per Functional Area	Table A2.6: Riviersonderend: Capital Expenditure Requirements (2018/2019 – 2027/2028)
Table 14.13:	TWKM Revenue Sources for the Total 10-Year Period	Table A2.7: Greyton: Capital Expenditure Requirements (2018/2019 – 2027/2028)
Table 14.14:	Cost of Total TWKM Required Engineering and Social Infrastructure for the Total 10-Year Period	Table A2.8: Genadendal: Capital Expenditure Requirements (2018/2019 – 2027/2028)
Table 14.15:	Capital Expenditure, Engineering and Social Infrastructure Costs and Funding Gaps	Table A2.9: Tesselaarsdal: Capital Expenditure Requirements (2018/2019 – 2027/2028)
Table A1.1.1:	Caledon: Housing Need	Table A2.10: Rural Hinterland: Capital Expenditure Requirements (2018/2019 – 2027/2028)
Table A1.2.1:	Grabouw: Housing Need	Table A2.11: Total TWKM Municipal Area: Capital Expenditure Requirements, Affordability Envelop and Funding Surplus/Gap (2018/2019 – 2027/2028)
Table A1.3.1:	Villiersdorp: Housing Need	Table A3.1: Comments and Responses
Table A1.4.1:	Botrivier: Housing Need	
Table A1.5.1:	Riviersonderend: Housing Need	
Table A1.6.1:	Greyton: Housing Need	
Table A2.1:	Revenue Sources	
Table A2.2:	Caledon and Myddleton: Capital Expenditure Requirements (2018/2019 – 2027/2028)	
Table A2.3:	Grabouw: Capital Expenditure Requirements (2018/2019 – 2027/2028)	
Table A2.4:	Villiersdorp: Capital Expenditure Requirements (2018/2019 –	

LIST OF PLANS

Plan 1.1:	Local Context	Plan 12.2:	Bereaville and Voorstekraal Proposals
Plan 3.1:	Regional Spatial Development Concept	Plan 13.1:	Tesselaarsdal Proposals
Plan 3.2:	Local Spatial Development Concept		
Plan 4.1:	Critical Biodiversity Areas		
Plan 4.2:	Spatial Planning Categories		
Plan 4.3:	Tourism Strategy		
Plan 4.4:	Transportation Network		
Plan 5.1:	Rural Land Use Development		
Plan 5.2:	RDA 1: Vyeboom and Environs		
Plan 5.3:	RDA 2: Viljoenshoop Valley Road and Environs and RDA 3: Salandra and Environs		
Plan 5.4:	RDA 4: Theewaterskloof Dam and Environs		
Plan 6.1:	Caledon Proposals		
Plan 7.1:	Grabouw Proposals		
Plan 8.1:	Villiersdorp Proposals		
Plan 9.1:	Botrivier Proposals		
Plan 10.1:	Riviersonderend Proposals		
Plan 11.1:	Greyton Proposals		
Plan 12.1:	Genadendal Proposals		

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

CBA	Critical Biodiversity Area
CEF	Capital Expenditure Framework
COGTA	Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs
DEA&DP	Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning
DoE	Department of Education
DoH	Department of Health
DoHS	Department of Human Settlements
GCM	Greater Cape Metro
IDP	Integrated Development Plan
GPS	Growth Potential Study (2014)
ICM	Intermediate City Municipality
IUDF	Integrated Urban Development Framework
IUDG	Integrated Urban Development Grant
IWMP	Integrated Waste Management Plan
LTFP	Long Term Financial Plan
LUPA	Land Use Planning Act, Act 3 of 2014
MBT	Minibus Taxi
MSA	Municipal Systems Act, Act 32 of 2000

MTREF	Medium Term Revenue and Expenditure Framework
NDP	National Development Plan 2030 (2012)
NMT	Non-motorised Transport
OBD	Overberg District
ODM	Overberg District Municipality
PSDF	Provincial Spatial Development Framework
RSIF	Regional Spatial Implementation Framework
SDF	Spatial Development Framework
SPC	Spatial Planning Category
SPLUMA	Spatial Planning and Land Use Management Act, Act 16 of 2013
TWKM	Theewaterskloof Municipality
WTW	Water Treatment Works
WWTW	Wastewater Treatment Works